

CONVERGENCE OF THE DENSITY OF STATES AND DELOCALIZATION OF EIGENVECTORS ON RANDOM REGULAR GRAPHS

LEANDER GEISINGER

ABSTRACT. Consider a random regular graph of fixed degree d with n vertices. We study spectral properties of the adjacency matrix and of random Schrödinger operators on such a graph as n tends to infinity.

We prove that the integrated density of states on the graph converges to the integrated density of states on the infinite regular tree and we give uniform bounds on the rate of convergence. This allows to estimate the number of eigenvalues in intervals of size comparable to $\log_{d-1}^{-1}(n)$. Based on related estimates for the Green function we derive results about delocalization of eigenvectors.

1. INTRODUCTION

The study of properties of eigenvalues and eigenvectors of random matrices has attracted growing interest in probability theory and mathematical physics. In his seminal work from 1955 [Wig55] Wigner showed that the empirical density of states of a large class of random matrices with independent identically distributed entries converges as the size of the matrix tends to infinity to one universal deterministic probability measure, the semicircle law.

This result was gradually improved and today it is known that universality of the spectrum of Wigner matrices goes far beyond that. Even the local eigenvalue statistics, studied via the eigenvalue gap distribution, is given by universal laws [EPRSY10, TV, ERSTVY10, EYY12]. These laws can be calculated explicitly from Gaussian ensembles and are characterized by local repulsion of the eigenvalues. We refer to the textbooks [Meh04, BS10, AGZ10] and the review [Dei07] for general results about random matrices and further references.

One field where random matrices arise naturally is the study of random graphs. Along with a graph of n labeled vertices one considers the adjacency matrix which is the symmetric $n \times n$ matrix with entry ij equal to 1, if vertex i is connected by an edge of the graph to vertex j , and equal to 0 otherwise. The spectrum of this matrix bears information about the geometry of the graph, however determining spectral properties is often a difficult task. One reason is that usually these matrices are sparse: only relatively few entries are non-zero so that moments of the distribution of the entries decay slowly.

These obstacles have recently been overcome for Erdős-Rényi graphs where every edge is chosen independently and with probability p (see [Bol01] for details concerning random graph models). Under suitable conditions on p universality of the local eigenvalue statistics for the corresponding adjacency matrix is proved in [EKYY, EKYY12]. Two of the steps towards that are proving local convergence of the density of states and delocalization of eigenvectors. The former refers to the fact that the average number of eigenvalues in intervals smaller than

Date: May 7, 2013.

© 2013 by the author. This paper may be reproduced, in its entirety, for non-commercial purposes.

$1/\sqrt{n}$ converges to a universal law. (Ideally this holds for intervals of size comparable to $1/n$, up to logarithmic corrections). The latter means that eigenvectors are typically uniformly distributed over the whole graph.

Let us emphasize that the mentioned results, for Wigner matrices as well as for Erdős-Rényi graphs, rely crucially on independence of the entries.

In this note we investigate the spectrum on random regular graphs with fixed degree d . In a regular graph of degree d each vertex is adjacent to exactly d other vertices. Thus in the corresponding adjacency matrix each row and each column contains d entries that are 1 and $n - d$ entries that are 0. We choose such a graph – or equivalently such a matrix – at random with uniform probability. These random matrices are sparse and more importantly the entries lack independence. Therefore it is not clear how to apply the methods developed to study Wigner matrices.

While there are results concerning extreme eigenvalues at the edge of the spectrum [Fri08, MN08, Sod09] not much seems to be known about eigenvalues in the bulk of the spectrum. However, it is conjectured that the local statistics is universal and governed by repulsion of eigenvalues, see for example [JMRR99, Elo08, OS10, EKYY12].

The goal of this article is to make a small step in this direction by studying convergence of the density of states and delocalization of eigenvectors. First we analyze the density of states. The analogue of Wigner’s result for random regular graphs was proved by McKay [McK81a]: The empirical density of states of the adjacency matrix of a random regular graph converges in distribution to a probability measure, known as the Kesten-McKay law.

In Theorem 1 we refine this result by deriving uniform bounds on the rate of convergence. This allows us to deduce local convergence of the number of eigenvalues in intervals of size comparable to $\log_{d-1}^{-1}(n)$. Our approach is based on the fact that a random regular graph coincides locally with a regular tree. This also explains the scale $\log_{d-1}^{-1}(n)$ since this approximation typically works well up to distances comparable to $\log_{d-1}(n)$.

While this rate is far from the desired $1/n$, these results are a first step in this direction. In particular, our results are strong enough to deduce statements about convergence of the Green function and delocalization of eigenvectors.

Our findings are similar to results recently obtained by Dumitriu and Pal [DP12] and by Tran, Vu, and Wang [TVW13]. They also study spectral properties of random regular graphs but they consider the case where the degree d is not fixed but tends to infinity together with the number of vertices n . The main results also include delocalization of eigenvectors and local convergence of the number of eigenvalues. Again the size of the allowed intervals is comparable to $\log_{d_n-1}^{-1}(n)$. Let us remark that our methods – even though they are tailored for regular graphs with fixed degree – also extend to graphs with growing degree. In Theorem 2 we recover results from [DP12] and [TVW13] and we slightly improve them at least in a certain sense that is made precise below.

As indicated above our methods are based on comparing the spectral measure of the adjacency matrix on a regular graph with its infinite-volume counterpart, the spectral measure on an infinite regular tree. For fixed degree this is given by the Kesten-McKay measure and it is absolutely continuous with bounded density.

The strength of this approach lies in the fact that it depends only on local properties of the graph. Therefore it extends to other local operators. Motivated by recent physical and numerical considerations about eigenvalue statistics [LS13, BRTT] we also study

random Schrödinger operators, the Anderson model, on random regular graphs. A random Schrödinger operator consists of the adjacency matrix perturbed by a random potential, that means one adds independent identically distributed entries on the diagonal of the matrix.

The Anderson model on the infinite regular tree is one of the most studied models of random Schrödinger operators, see [War12] for an overview of results and references. It is a natural question in what way spectral properties on the tree extend to the corresponding finite-volume operator on a random regular graph. However, for Schrödinger operators the analysis of spectrum and eigenvectors is more challenging since for the infinite-volume operator on the tree different phenomena occur. In particular, the spectral measure of a random Schrödinger operator on the tree is not purely absolutely continuous but it also contains a pure-point component.

This should influence the behavior of eigenvectors of the finite-volume operator. In spectral regimes that correspond to pure-point spectrum of the infinite-volume operator one expects to find exponential localization while eigenvectors with eigenvalues within the absolutely continuous spectrum are expected to be delocalized. In turn, properties of eigenvectors are conjectured to determine whether the local eigenvalue statistics is Poisson or governed by level repulsion.

Again we are able to make a small step in this direction. In Theorem 3 we show that the mean density of states of a random Schrödinger operator on a random regular graph converges to the density of states on the infinite tree. We give bounds on the rate of convergence and show that the mean number of eigenvalues in intervals of size comparable to $\log_{d-1}^{-1}(n)$ converges. Finally, in Theorem 11 we apply these results and combine them with recent results about the spectrum of random Schrödinger operators on the infinite tree [AW12] to prove that typically eigenvectors on a random regular graph with eigenvalues within the absolutely continuous spectrum of the infinite-volume operator are not localized.

The article is organized as follows. In the next section we explain the relevant notation concerning random regular graphs and spectral measures. Then we give the precise statements of the main results about convergence of the density of states.

In Section 3 we provide the main tools on which our approach is based: The fact that a random regular graph coincides locally with a tree implies that low moments of the spectral measure on the graph agree with the respective moments on the tree. Therefore also the expectation of polynomials of low degree (typically up to $\log_{d-1}(n)$) is the same. Thus to obtain uniform estimates on the rate of convergence of the integrated density of states one needs to approximate the Heaviside function by polynomials. As was noticed by Chebyshev, Markov, and Stieltjes orthogonal polynomials are well suited for this purpose. In Theorem 4 we use this approach to prove a deterministic estimate for the density of states valid for all regular graphs.

In Section 4 we study the distribution of cycles in a random regular graph and we show that it is justified to approximate a random regular graph locally by a tree. We use that to complete the proof of the results from Section 2.

In the final section we apply the developed techniques to prove convergence of the Green function and to deduce results about delocalization of eigenvectors.

2. NOTATION AND MAIN RESULTS

Consider the set $\mathcal{G}_{n,d}$ of simple regular graphs with n vertices and degree $d \geq 3$. Let $\mathcal{P}_{n,d}$ denote the uniform probability measure on this set and let $\mathcal{E}_{n,d}$ denote the expectation with respect to $\mathcal{P}_{n,d}$. We study this ensemble as n tends to infinity. We say that an event happens asymptotically almost surely if the probability $\mathcal{P}_{n,d}$ of the event tends to one as n tends to infinity.

We assume that the degree d is fixed unless stated otherwise. However, the methods that are employed here are not limited to this case. In particular in Theorem 2 we consider the case where $d = d_n$ tends to infinity with n .

2.1. The adjacency matrix. First we study the spectrum of the adjacency matrix A_n , the self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $l^2(G_n)$ defined by

$$(A_n \phi)(x) = \sum_{y \in G_n : d(x,y)=1} \phi(y), \quad \phi \in l^2(G_n), \quad x \in G_n.$$

Here the distance $d(x, y)$ of two vertices $x, y \in G_n$ is the length of the shortest path connecting x and y . The adjacency matrix corresponds to the discrete Laplace operator on G_n with the diagonal terms removed.

Let $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^n$ denote the eigenvalues of A_n counted with multiplicities and let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ be the corresponding $l^2(G_n)$ -normalized eigenfunctions. To study the distribution of the eigenvalues we introduce the following spectral measures.

For a vertex $x \in G_n$ we write δ_x for the characteristic function of x and for a set $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ let χ_I denote the characteristic function of I . We introduce the spectral measure $\mu_{n,x}$ with respect to a vertex $x \in G_n$ which is given by

$$\mu_{n,x}(I) = (\delta_x, \chi_I(A_n) \delta_x)_{l^2(G_n)} = \sum_{\lambda_j \in I} |\varphi_j(x)|^2. \quad (2.1)$$

With $\mathcal{N}_I(G_n)$ we denote the counting measure that counts the number of eigenvalues λ_j in the set I ,

$$\mathcal{N}_I(G_n) = \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_I(\lambda_j) = \text{Tr} \chi_I(A_n)$$

and we remark the identity

$$\mathcal{N}_I(G_n) = \sum_{x \in G_n} \mu_{n,x}(I). \quad (2.2)$$

The main tool in the analysis of the spectral distribution is the fact that a typical regular graph looks locally like a tree in the sense that it contains large regions without cycles. So along with graphs $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{n,d}$ we consider the infinite regular tree \mathcal{T}_d of degree d . In the same way as above we define the operator $A_{\mathcal{T}_d}$ on $l^2(\mathcal{T}_d)$ and the local density of states measure

$$\sigma_0(I) = (\delta_x, \chi_I(A_{\mathcal{T}_d}) \delta_x)_{l^2(\mathcal{T}_d)} \quad (2.3)$$

which is independent of $x \in \mathcal{T}_d$. On the tree this can be calculated explicitly and is given by the Kesten-McKay measure:

$$d\sigma_0(\lambda) = \frac{d}{2\pi} \frac{\sqrt{4(d-1) - \lambda^2}}{d^2 - \lambda^2} \chi_{(-2\sqrt{d-1}, 2\sqrt{d-1})}(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (2.4)$$

The fact that a random regular graph is locally identical to a tree has already been used by McKay to determine the limiting distribution of eigenvalues of the adjacency matrix. Assume that for each fixed $k \geq 3$ the number of cycles of length k in G_n equals $o(n)$ as n tends to infinity. Then it is shown in [McK81a] that the measure $\mathcal{N}_{(\cdot)}(G_n)/n$ converges in distribution to σ_0 . Here we refine this result by giving an estimate on the rate of convergence.

Note that the measure σ_0 is supported in the interval $(-2\sqrt{d-1}, 2\sqrt{d-1})$ and that its density is bounded by γ_d , where

$$\gamma_d = \frac{d}{4\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d^2 - 4(d-1)}} \text{ if } d \leq 6 \quad \text{and} \quad \gamma_d = \frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{d\pi} \text{ if } d \geq 7.$$

Theorem 1. *The local density of states of the adjacency matrix of a random regular graph satisfies the estimate*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in G_n} |\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, t]) - \sigma_0((-\infty, t])| \leq C \gamma_d \sqrt{d-1} \log_{d-1}^{-1}(n)$$

asymptotically almost surely for any constant $C > 8\pi$.

In particular, the estimate

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \mathcal{N}_I(G_n) - \sigma_0(I) \right| \leq \delta |I|$$

holds asymptotically almost surely, for all $\delta > 0$ and all intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|I| \geq \frac{2C\gamma_d\sqrt{d-1}}{\delta \log_{d-1}(n)}.$$

Let us now briefly consider the case where the degree $d = d_n$ depends on the number of vertices and tends to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$. This was recently studied in [DP12, TVW13]. To confine the spectrum to a finite interval one considers the rescaled operator

$$\tilde{A}_n = \frac{1}{2\sqrt{d_n-1}} A_n$$

on $l^2(G_n)$ and the correspondingly rescaled operator $\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{T}_{d_n}}$ on $l^2(\mathcal{T}_{d_n})$. Then the density of states measure $\tilde{\sigma}_0(I) = (\delta_x, \chi_I(\tilde{A}_{\mathcal{T}_{d_n}})\delta_x)_{l^2(\mathcal{T}_{d_n})}$ is given by

$$d\tilde{\sigma}_0(\lambda) = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{d_n(d_n-1)}{d_n^2 - 4(d_n-1)\lambda^2} \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} \chi_{(-1,1)}(\lambda) d\lambda.$$

As n tends to infinity this measure converges to the semicircle measure

$$d\sigma_{\text{sc}}(\lambda) = \frac{2}{\pi} \sqrt{1-\lambda^2} \chi_{(-1,1)}(\lambda) d\lambda. \quad (2.5)$$

In the same way as above we define the spectral measure $\tilde{\mu}_{n,x}(I) = (\delta_x, \chi_I(\tilde{A}_n)\delta_x)_{l^2(G_n)}$ and the counting measure

$$\mathfrak{N}_I(G_n) = \text{Tr} \chi_I(\tilde{A}_n) = \sum_{x \in G_n} \tilde{\mu}_{n,x}(I) \quad (2.6)$$

and we obtain the following local semicircle law.

Theorem 2. *Let $d_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $d_n \leq (n/\ln(n))^{1/3}$. Then the local density of states of the operator \tilde{A}_n satisfies the estimate*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in G_n} |\tilde{\mu}_{n,x}((-\infty, t]) - \sigma_{\text{sc}}((-\infty, t])| \leq C \left(\frac{\ln(d_n - 1)}{\ln(n)} + \frac{1}{d_n} \right)$$

asymptotically almost surely for any constant $C > 8$.

In particular, the estimate

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \mathfrak{N}_I(G_n) - \sigma_{\text{sc}}(I) \right| \leq \delta |I| \quad (2.7)$$

holds asymptotically almost surely, for all $\delta > 0$ and all intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|I| \geq \frac{2C}{\delta} \left(\frac{\ln(d_n - 1)}{\ln(n)} + \frac{1}{d_n} \right).$$

Remark. This theorem is similar to recent results derived in [DP12, TVW13]. In [DP12, Theorem 2] it is shown that (2.7) holds with probability at least $1 - o(1/n)$ if $d_n = \ln^\gamma(n)$ and the size of the considered interval I is comparable to $\ln^{-\beta\gamma}(n)$ for $0 < \gamma < 1$ and comparable to $\ln^\beta(n)$ for $\gamma \geq 1$ both with $\beta < 1$. Theorem 2 above improves this in the sense that one can consider slightly smaller intervals I .

In [TVW13, Theorem 1.6] a similar estimate is shown to hold with probability at least $1 - O(\exp(-cn\sqrt{d_n} \ln d_n))$ if the size of the considered interval I is comparable to $\ln^{1/5}(d_n)d_n^{-1/10}$. As far as the size of the interval is concerned Theorem 2 above gives better bounds if d_n is less than $\ln^{10}(n)$. For d_n larger than that the result in [TVW13] is stronger.

Let us emphasize that neither Dumitriu and Pal nor Tran, Vu, and Wang consider the case of fixed degree d .

2.2. Random Schrödinger operators. Now we study the distribution of eigenvalues of random Schrödinger operators

$$H_n(V) = A_n + V$$

on $l^2(G_n)$. The operator V denotes a random potential, a multiplication operator

$$(V\phi)(x) = \omega_x \phi(x), \quad \phi \in l^2(G_n), \quad x \in G_n,$$

where $(\omega_x)_{x \in G_n}$ stands for a collection of independent identically distributed real random variables with density ρ . We write \mathbb{P} and \mathbb{E} for the probability and expectation with respect to the distribution of $(\omega_x)_{x \in G_n}$.

For random Schrödinger operators we use similar notation as above. Note that now the eigenvalues $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^n$ and eigenvectors $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ are random objects depending on the potential V . So for $x \in G_n$ we consider the random measure

$$\mu_{n,x}(I, V) = (\delta_x, \chi_I(H_n(V)) \delta_x)_{l^2(G_n)} = \sum_{\lambda_j \in I} |\varphi_j(x)|^2$$

that corresponds to (2.1). As in (2.2) with $\mathcal{N}_I(G_n, V)$ we denote the random variable that counts the number of eigenvalues λ_j in the set I ,

$$\mathcal{N}_I(G_n, V) = \sum_{j=1}^n \chi_I(\lambda_j) = \text{Tr} \chi_I(H_n(V)) = \sum_{x \in G_n} \mu_{n,x}(I, V). \quad (2.8)$$

In the same way we define the corresponding objects on the tree \mathcal{T}_d : First the operator $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V) = A_{\mathcal{T}_d} + V$ on $l^2(\mathcal{T}_d)$ and for $x \in \mathcal{T}_d$ the local density of states measure

$$\mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, x}(I, V) = (\delta_x, \chi_I(H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)) \delta_x)_{l^2(\mathcal{T}_d)}.$$

This measure depends on the potential V and thus on the vertex $x \in \mathcal{T}_d$. To obtain an invariant measure we take the expectation and set

$$\sigma_\rho(I) = \mathbb{E} \mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, x}(I, V). \quad (2.9)$$

By translation invariance of the operator $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)$ the measure σ_ρ is independent of $x \in \mathcal{T}_d$ and thus depends only on the density ρ . We refer to Appendix A.2, where we state selected properties of the measures $\mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, x}$ and σ_ρ .

To identify the random potential on the tree with the potential on the graph we consider the tree \mathcal{T}_d as the universal cover of G_n . To construct the universal cover of G_n one considers an arbitrary vertex $x \in G_n$ and the set of non-backtracking walks in G_n that start at x . This is the set of finite sequences $(x_j)_{j=1}^k$ such that $x_1 = x$, x_j is adjacent to x_{j+1} in G_n for $j = 1, \dots, k-1$, and $x_{j-1} \neq x_{j+1}$ for $j = 2, \dots, k-1$. Two such walks are said to be adjacent if their lengths differ by one and they agree except for the last vertex of the longer one. The set of such walks with this notion of adjacency is isomorphic to the tree \mathcal{T}_d and forms the universal cover of the graph G_n .

The universal cover induces an equivalence class on \mathcal{T}_d if one identifies two walks if their endpoints agree in G_n . Thus the graph can be recovered from the universal cover as the set of equivalence classes. This induces a map $\iota : \mathcal{T}_d \rightarrow G_n$ which is onto and preserves the local geometry in the following sense.

For a given vertex $x \in G_n$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $B_k(x) = \{y \in G_n : d(x, y) \leq k\}$ denote the k -neighborhood of x , including all edges of G_n that are incident with at least one vertex y with $d(x, y) < k$. If $B_k(x)$ is acyclic then $B_k(x)$ is a finite tree of depth k . To compare the graph G_n locally to the tree we define

$$R(x) = \max\{k \in \mathbb{N} : B_k(x) \text{ is acyclic}\}.$$

(Since G_n does not contain double edges we have $R(x) \geq 1$ for all $x \in G_n$.) Let $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d$ be an arbitrary vertex from the preimage of x under the map ι . Then ι maps the neighborhood $B_{R(x)}(\hat{x}) \subset \mathcal{T}_d$ isomorphically to $B_{R(x)}(x) \subset G_n$.

Given a realization of the random potential $V = (\omega_{\hat{x}})_{\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d}$ on the tree, this map generates a realization of the potential on the graph: For $x \in G_n$ and $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d$ as above and $y \in B_{R(x)}(x)$ we set $\omega_y = \omega_{\hat{y}}$, where $\hat{y} \in B_{R(x)}(\hat{x})$ is the unique preimage of y under ι restricted to $B_{R(x)}(\hat{x})$. For $y \notin B_{R(x)}(x)$ we set $\omega_y = \omega_{\hat{y}}$, where $\hat{y} \in \mathcal{T}_d$ is an arbitrary vertex from the preimage of y under ι . With a slight abuse of notation we denote the resulting potential $(\omega_x)_{x \in G_n}$ on G_n again with V .

With this construction the local geometry and the random potential in $B_{R(x)}(x)$ are preserved and it follows that

$$(\delta_x, H_n(V)^k \delta_x)_{l^2(G_n)} = (\delta_{\hat{x}}, H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)^k \delta_{\hat{x}})_{l^2(\mathcal{T}_d)} \quad (2.10)$$

for all $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2R(x)$. This fact is a key ingredient in the proof of the following result.

Theorem 3. *Assume that the density ρ of the random potential V is bounded and satisfies $\text{supp}(\rho) = (-\rho_0, \rho_0)$ with $0 < \rho_0 < \infty$. Then the local density of states of the operator $H_n(V)$*

on a random regular graph satisfies the estimate

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in G_n} \mathbb{E} |\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, t], V) - \mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}((-\infty, t], V)| \leq C \|\rho\|_\infty (2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0) \log_{d-1}^{-1}(n)$$

asymptotically almost surely for any constant $C > 4\pi$.

In particular, the estimate

$$\left| \frac{1}{n} \mathbb{E} \mathcal{N}_I(G_n, V) - \sigma_\rho(I) \right| \leq \delta |I|$$

holds asymptotically almost surely, for all $\delta > 0$ and all intervals $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ satisfying

$$|I| \geq \frac{2C \|\rho\|_\infty (2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0)}{\delta \log_{d-1}(n)}.$$

In Section 5 we apply the developed methods to deduce estimates for the Green function. We establish convergence of the imaginary part of the Green function on a random regular graph to the respective quantity on the infinite regular tree. Based on these bounds we prove statements about delocalization of eigenvectors. In particular, we show that eigenvectors of the operator $H_n(V)$ with eigenvalues within the absolutely continuous spectrum of the infinite-volume operator $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)$ are not localized. Since these results require more notation and since they ask for some discussion we state these results directly in Section 5, see Theorem 11.

3. A DETERMINISTIC ESTIMATE FOR THE INTEGRATED DENSITY OF STATES

In this section we fix a graph $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{n,d}$ and a vertex $x \in G_n$. We derive an estimate for the difference between the spectral measure on G_n and the respective measure on the tree that depends on the local geometry of the graph.

Recall the definition of $R(x)$ from Section 2.2 and set $R(x)^* = R(x)$ for $R(x)$ odd and $R(x)^* = R(x) - 1$ for $R(x)$ even. As in Theorem 3 we write $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d$ for the corresponding vertex from the preimage of $x \in G_n$ under the universal cover.

Theorem 4. *For all $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{n,d}$ and all $x \in G_n$ the local density of states of A_n satisfies*

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, t]) - \sigma_0((-\infty, t])| \leq 4\pi\gamma_d \sqrt{d-1} \frac{1}{R(x)^*}.$$

Moreover, under the conditions of Theorem 3, the local density of states of $H_n(V)$ satisfies

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} |\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, t], V) - \mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}((-\infty, t], V)| \leq 2\pi \|\rho\|_\infty (2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0) \frac{1}{R(x)^*}$$

for all $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{n,d}$ and all $x \in G_n$.

Remark. For the adjacency matrix A_n there is a variant of this result. In [Sod07] it is shown that there is a constant $C > 0$ such that, for all $m \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, t]) - \sigma_0((-\infty, t])| \leq C \left(\frac{1}{m} + m^6 \left(\sum_{k=1}^{2m-2} W_k(x, G_n)^2 \right)^{1/2} \right),$$

where $W_k(x, G_n)$ is related to the number of closed non-backtracking walks of length k in G_n that start at x . For $m < R(x)$ the second summand is zero. More generally, $W_k(x, G_n)$

can be estimated in terms of the number of cycles in G_n and the resulting bounds are similar to Theorem 1.

The remainder of this section is devoted to the proof of Theorem 4. It is based on a general estimate for measures on the real line that we give in the next subsection. In Subsection 3.2 we show how the theorem can be deduced from Proposition 5.

3.1. A general estimate. The following result is related to the classical moment problem and the Chebyshev-Markov-Stieltjes inequality; it is based on an approximation of the Heaviside function by orthogonal polynomials. We refer to the books [Akh65, Sze75, KN77] for background information regarding this approach.

Proposition 5. *Let σ be a measure on the real line with bounded density w and with support in the finite interval $(-w_0, w_0)$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ assume that μ is another measure on the real line such that for all $k = 0, \dots, 2N$*

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^k d\sigma(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^k d\mu(\lambda).$$

Then the estimate

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\sigma((-\infty, t]) - \mu((-\infty, t])| \leq \frac{2\pi}{N^*} \|w\|_{\infty} w_0$$

holds with $N^ = N$ for N odd and with $N^* = N - 1$ for N even.*

Proof. First we note that for $t \leq -w_0$ we have

$$|\sigma((-\infty, t]) - \mu((-\infty, t])| \leq |\sigma((-\infty, -w_0]) - \mu((-\infty, -w_0])|$$

and for $t \geq w_0$

$$|\sigma((-\infty, t]) - \mu((-\infty, t])| \leq |\sigma((-\infty, w_0]) - \mu((-\infty, w_0])|.$$

Hence, we can assume $t \in (-w_0, w_0)$.

Let P_n , $n \in \mathbb{N}_0$, denote the orthonormal polynomials of degree n with respect to the measure σ . We claim that for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$

$$|\sigma((-\infty, t]) - \mu((-\infty, t])| \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(t)^2}. \quad (3.1)$$

Combining this bound with Lemma 6 below proves the proposition.

To establish (3.1) let us first assume that t is a zero of the polynomial P_N . We remark that these zeros are real and simple and we denote them by $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_N$. Then the assumption means that $t = \lambda_j$ for an index $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$.

Now we construct a polynomial R_{2N-2} of degree $2N - 2$ that satisfies

$$\begin{aligned} R_{2N-2}(\lambda_1) &= \dots = R_{2N-2}(\lambda_{j-1}) = 0, & R_{2N-2}(\lambda_j) &= \dots = R_{2N-2}(\lambda_N) = 1 \\ R'_{2N-2}(\lambda_1) &= \dots = R'_{2N-2}(\lambda_{j-1}) = R'_{2N-2}(\lambda_{j+1}) = \dots = R'_{2N-2}(\lambda_N) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

These $2N - 1$ assumptions determine the polynomial R_{2N-2} uniquely and we see that $R_{2N-2}(\lambda) \geq \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_j]}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. In the same way we construct a polynomial Q_{2N-2}

of degree $2N - 2$ by changing only the condition at λ_j to $Q_{2N-2}(\lambda_j) = 0$. Then we get $Q_{2N-2}(\lambda) \leq \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_j]}(\lambda)$ for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$. Hence, we can estimate

$$\begin{aligned}\sigma((-\infty, \lambda_j]) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_j]} d\sigma(\lambda) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_{2N-2}(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda), \\ \mu((-\infty, \lambda_j]) &= \int_{\mathbb{R}} \chi_{(-\infty, \lambda_j]} d\mu(\lambda) \geq \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{2N-2}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda)\end{aligned}\tag{3.2}$$

and

$$\sigma((-\infty, \lambda_j]) - \mu((-\infty, \lambda_j]) \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} R_{2N-2}(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{2N-2}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda).\tag{3.3}$$

To bound the right-hand side we invoke the Gaussian quadrature formula from Lemma 13 in Appendix A.1. We find

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} R_{2N-2}(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{R_{2N-2}(\lambda_k)}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_n(\lambda_k)^2} = \sum_{k=j}^N \frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_n(\lambda_k)^2}.$$

By assumption, the first $2N$ moments of the measures σ and μ agree, so we also get

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{2N-2}(\lambda) d\mu(\lambda) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} Q_{2N-2}(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{Q_{2N-2}(\lambda_k)}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_n(\lambda_k)^2} = \sum_{k=j+1}^N \frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_n(\lambda_k)^2}.$$

Combining these identities with the estimate (3.3) yields the upper bound

$$\sigma((-\infty, \lambda_j]) - \mu((-\infty, \lambda_j]) \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_n(\lambda_j)^2} = \frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(\lambda_j)^2},$$

where we used the assumption $P_N(\lambda_j) = 0$ in the last step. The lower bound is proved in the same way by exchanging the roles of σ and μ in (3.2) and (3.3). This proves (3.1) if t is a zero of P_N .

It remains to prove (3.1) if t is not a zero of P_N . For $s \in \mathbb{R}$ we define a polynomial of degree $N + 1$ by

$$\hat{P}_{N+1}(\lambda) = P_{N+1}(\lambda) + sP_N(\lambda).$$

Since $P_N(t) \neq 0$ by assumption, we can choose s in such a way that $\hat{P}_{N+1}(t) = 0$. Thus we can argue in the same way as before, with N replaced by $N + 1$.

This establishes (3.1) and completes the proof. \square

The proof of Proposition 5 was based on the following estimate of the so-called Christoffel numbers $(\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(t)^2)^{-1}$.

Lemma 6. *Assume that σ is a measure on the real line with bounded density w and with support in the finite interval $(-w_0, w_0)$. Let $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ denote the orthonormal polynomials of degree n with respect to σ .*

Then for all $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and all $t \in (-w_0, w_0)$ the estimate

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(t)^2} \leq \frac{2\pi w_0 \|w\|_{\infty}}{N^*}$$

holds with $N^ = N$ for N odd and with $N^* = N - 1$ for N even.*

Proof. We fix $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $t \in (-w_0, w_0)$. Below we construct a polynomial $S_{2N-2}^{(t)}$ of degree less or equal than $2N - 2$ with the properties

$$S_{2N-2}^{(t)}(\lambda) \geq 0 \quad (3.4)$$

for all $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$,

$$S_{2N-2}^{(t)}(t) = 1, \quad (3.5)$$

and

$$\int_{-w_0}^{w_0} S_{2N-2}^{(t)}(\lambda) d\lambda \leq \frac{2\pi w_0}{N^*}. \quad (3.6)$$

To estimate $\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(t)^2$ in terms of this polynomial let us first assume that t is a zero of P_N . We use the same notation as in the proof of Proposition 5. So let $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_N$ denote the zeros of P_N and assume that $t = \lambda_j$ for an index $j \in \{1, \dots, N\}$. Then the assumption $P_N(t) = 0$ together with (3.4) and (3.5) implies

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(t)^2} = \frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_n(\lambda_j)^2} = \frac{S_{2N-2}^{(t)}(\lambda_j)}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_n(\lambda_j)^2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^N \frac{S_{2N-2}^{(t)}(\lambda_k)}{\sum_{n=0}^{N-1} P_n(\lambda_k)^2}.$$

We combine this estimate with the quadrature formula from Lemma 13 and get

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(t)^2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} S_{2N-2}^{(t)}(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda). \quad (3.7)$$

If $t \in (-w_0, w_0)$ is not a zero of P_N we define, for $s \in \mathbb{R}$, a polynomial

$$\hat{P}_{N+1}(\lambda) = P_{N+1}(\lambda) + sP_N(\lambda).$$

Since $P_N(t) \neq 0$ we can choose s such that $\hat{P}_{N+1}(t) = 0$. Now we can argue similarly as above. Again let $\lambda_1 < \dots < \lambda_{N+1}$ denote the zeros of \hat{P}_{N+1} so that $t = \lambda_j$ for an index $j \in \{1, \dots, N+1\}$. Now we apply Lemma 13 directly to

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(t)^2} = \frac{S_{2N-2}^{(t)}(\lambda_j)}{\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(\lambda_j)^2} \leq \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \frac{S_{2N-2}^{(t)}(\lambda_k)}{\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(\lambda_k)^2}$$

and we obtain (3.7). We have shown that the estimate (3.7) is valid for all $t \in (-w_0, w_0)$.

Now we combine this estimate with (3.6) and arrive at

$$\frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(t)^2} \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} S_{2N-2}^{(t)}(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) \leq \|w\|_{\infty} \int_{-w_0}^{w_0} S_{2N-2}^{(t)}(\lambda) d\lambda \leq \frac{2\pi w_0 \|w\|_{\infty}}{N^*}$$

which is the claimed estimate.

It remains to construct the polynomial $S_{2N-2}^{(t)}$. Let T_m denote the Chebyshev polynomial of degree $m \in \mathbb{N}_0$ that is defined by the equation $T_m(\cos \theta) = \cos(m\theta)$. For $N \in \mathbb{N}$, let $n \in \mathbb{N}$ denote the largest integer satisfying $4n \leq 2N - 2$. Then, for $x \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$F_{2N-2}(x) = \frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{2}{(2n+1)^2} \sum_{m=1}^{2n} (2n-m+1) (-1)^m T_{2m}(x)$$

that defines a polynomial of degree $4n \leq 2N - 2$.

Let us note the following relation to the Fejér kernel. For $x \in (-1, 1)$ write $x = \cos \theta$ with $\theta \in (0, \pi)$ and calculate

$$\begin{aligned} F_{2N-2}(x) &= F_{2N-2}(\cos \theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2n+1} + \frac{2}{(2n+1)^2} \sum_{m=1}^{2n} (2n-m+1) (-1)^m \cos(2m\theta) \\ &= \frac{1}{2} \frac{1}{(2n+1)^2} \left(\frac{\sin^2((2n+1)(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2}))}{\sin^2(\theta - \frac{\pi}{2})} + \frac{\sin^2((2n+1)(\theta + \frac{\pi}{2}))}{\sin^2(\theta + \frac{\pi}{2})} \right). \end{aligned}$$

This identity shows that $F_{2N-2}(0) = F_{2N-2}(\cos(\pi/2)) = 1$ and that

$$\int_{-1}^1 F_{2N-2}(x) dx \leq \frac{\pi}{2n+1} \leq \frac{\pi}{N^*}.$$

To see that $F_{2N-2}(x)$ is non-negative for all $x \in \mathbb{R}$ note that it vanishes together with its derivative at the points $x_k = \cos(\pi/2 + k\pi/(2n+1))$, $k = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Together with the condition $F_{2N-2}(0) = 1$ these are $2n+1$ conditions. Since F_{2N-2} is by definition an even polynomial of exact degree $4n$ these conditions determine the polynomial and show that it is non-negative.

Thus, for $t \in (-w_0, w_0)$ and $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$, we set

$$S_{2N-2}^{(t)}(\lambda) = F_{2N-2}\left(\frac{\lambda - t}{2w_0}\right)$$

and the properties (3.4), (3.5), and (3.6) follow directly from the properties of F_{2N-2} . This completes the proof. \square

3.2. Proof of Theorem 4. Let us first consider the adjacency matrix A_n . For this operator the claim follows directly from Proposition 5. Indeed, we only have to show that the measures $\mu_{n,x}$ and σ_0 satisfy the conditions of the proposition with $N = R(x)$.

We recall that the measure σ_0 is supported in the finite interval $(-2\sqrt{d-1}, 2\sqrt{d-1})$ and that its density is bounded by γ_d . Hence it remains to establish that the k -th moments of σ_0 and $\mu_{n,x}$ agree for $k = 0, \dots, 2R(x)$.

We consider the map ι from \mathcal{T}_d onto G_n introduced in Section 2.2 that is induced by the universal cover of the graph by the tree. For $x \in G_n$ we pick the corresponding vertex $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d$ from the preimage of x . By definition of $R(x)$ the neighborhood $B_{R(x)}(\hat{x}) \subset \mathcal{T}_d$ is mapped isomorphically to $B_{R(x)}(x) \subset G_n$ and we find $(\delta_x, A_n^k \delta_x)_{l^2(G_n)} = (\delta_{\hat{x}}, A_{\mathcal{T}_d}^k \delta_{\hat{x}})_{l^2(\mathcal{T}_d)}$ for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2R(x)$. By definition of the measures $\mu_{n,x}$ and σ_0 , see (2.1) and (2.3), this implies

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^k d\mu_{n,x}(\lambda) = (\delta_x, A_n^k \delta_x)_{l^2(G_n)} = (\delta_{\hat{x}}, A_{\mathcal{T}_d}^k \delta_{\hat{x}})_{l^2(\mathcal{T}_d)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^k d\sigma_0(\lambda)$$

for $k = 0, 1, \dots, 2R(x)$. Hence, these measures satisfy the conditions of Proposition 5 and the proof of the first statement is complete.

To prove the second claim we have to argue a bit more carefully. For the random operator $H_n(V)$ the spectral measure $\mu_{\mathcal{T}_d,x}(I, V)$ is not necessarily absolutely continuous, hence we cannot apply Proposition 5 directly. (We note that σ_ρ has bounded density by the Wegner estimate (A.2), so we can apply the proposition to the measures σ_ρ and $\mathbb{E}\mu_{n,x}$. This immediately yields a similar estimate for the difference of $\mathbb{E}\mu_{n,x}$ and σ_ρ , however, we want to prove a stronger statement.)

From identity (2.10) we see that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^k d\mu_{n,x}(\lambda, V) = (\delta_x, H_n(V)^k \delta_x)_{l^2(G_n)} = (\delta_{\hat{x}}, H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)^k \delta_{\hat{x}})_{l^2(\mathcal{T}_d)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \lambda^k d\mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}(\lambda, V)$$

is valid for all $k = 0, \dots, 2R(x)$. Hence, we can still apply estimate (3.1) to the measures $\mu_{n,x}$ and $\mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}$ and obtain

$$|\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, t], V) - \mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}((-\infty, t], V)| \leq \frac{1}{\sum_{n=0}^{R(x)} P_n(t)^2}, \quad (3.8)$$

where P_n denotes the orthonormal polynomial of degree n with respect to the random measure $\mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}$.

From the general property (A.1) we learn the the support of this measure is almost surely contained in the interval $(-2\sqrt{d-1} - \rho_0, 2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0)$. Hence, in the same way as in the beginning of the proof of Proposition 5 we can reduce the problem to $t \in (-2\sqrt{d-1} - \rho_0, 2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0)$. For such t estimate (3.7) is valid and combining it with (3.8) we find that the bound

$$|\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, t], V) - \mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}((-\infty, t], V)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} S_{2R(x)-2}^{(t)}(\lambda) d\mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}(\lambda, V)$$

holds almost surely. Hence, recalling definition (2.9), the Wegner estimate (A.2), and the bound (3.6), we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} |\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, t], V) - \mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}((-\infty, t], V)| \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} S_{2R(x)-2}^{(t)}(\lambda) d\sigma_{\rho}(\lambda) \leq \frac{2\pi \|\rho\|_{\infty} (2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0)}{R^*(x)}.$$

This proves the second claim and completes the proof of Theorem 4.

4. ASYMPTOTICALLY ALMOST SURE BOUNDS ON THE RATE OF CONVERGENCE

In this section we combine the deterministic estimates of Theorem 4 with bounds on the number of cycles in random regular graphs to prove the results from Section 2.

4.1. Acyclic regions in random regular graphs. Here we collect information about cycles in random regular graphs based on results from [McK81b, MWW04]. We establish the fact that typically at most sites the graph looks locally like a tree. This is made precise in Lemma 8 below.

For a graph $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{n,d}$ and $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $\mathcal{C}(k)$ denote the set of cycles of length k in G_n . First we have to estimate the expectation of $|\mathcal{C}(k)|$.

Lemma 7. *For $3 \leq k \leq nd/4 - 2d^2$ one has*

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,d} [|\mathcal{C}(k)|] \leq \frac{(d-1)^k}{2k} \left(1 + \frac{8}{n} \left(d + \frac{k}{2d} \right) \right)^k.$$

Proof. Let G_n be an arbitrary graph from $\mathcal{G}_{n,d}$ and let $e(G_n)$ denote the set of edges of G_n . We also introduce K_n , the complete graph of n vertices and the set $e(K_n)$ of edges of K_n . We consider a cycle $\mathfrak{c} \subset K_n$ of length k and its set of edges $e(\mathfrak{c})$. To estimate the expectation of $|\mathcal{C}(k)|$ we use the following relation to the number of cycles \mathfrak{c} of length k in K_n :

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,d} [|\mathcal{C}(k)|] = \sum_{\mathfrak{c} \subset K_n} \mathcal{P}_{n,d} [e(\mathfrak{c}) \subset e(G_n)] \leq |\{\mathfrak{c} \subset K_n\}| \max_{\mathfrak{c} \subset K_n} \mathcal{P}_{n,d} [e(\mathfrak{c}) \subset e(G_n)]. \quad (4.1)$$

From [MWW04, Theorem 3] (see also [McK81b, Theorem 2.10]) it follows that for $k \leq nd/4 - 2d^2$ one has

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,d}[e(\mathfrak{c}) \subset e(G_n)] \leq \frac{d^k(d-1)^k}{2^k} \left(\frac{2}{nd - 4d^2 - 2k} \right)^k = \frac{(d-1)^k}{n^k} \left(\frac{nd}{nd - 4d^2 - 2k} \right)^k.$$

Moreover, a simple counting argument shows that

$$|\{\mathfrak{c} \subset K_n\}| = \frac{n!}{2k(n-k)!} \leq \frac{n^k}{2k}.$$

We combine these estimates with (4.1) and get

$$\mathcal{E}_{n,d}[\mathcal{C}(k)] \leq \frac{(d-1)^k}{2k} \left(\frac{nd}{nd - 4d^2 - 2k} \right)^k.$$

A simple estimate using the fact that $k \leq nd/4 - 2d^2$ yields the claim. \square

Remark. A similar argument leads to a lower bound on $\mathcal{E}_{n,d}[\mathcal{C}(k)]$ with the same leading term $(d-1)^k/2k$. In this sense the estimate in Lemma 7 is sharp.

The following is a simplified version of [DP12, Lemma 4]. It quantifies how well one can approximate a graph $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{n,d}$ by a tree.

Lemma 8. *For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $F_n(k) \subset G_n$ denote the set of all vertices $x \in G_n$ such that $B_k(x)$ is acyclic. Then for all $\epsilon > 0$ and $k \leq n/4d - 2d^2$ we have*

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,d} \left[1 - \frac{|F_n(k)|}{n} > \epsilon \right] \leq \frac{1}{2n\epsilon} \frac{(d-1)^{2k+1/2}}{\sqrt{d-1}-1} \left(1 + \frac{8}{n} \left(d + \frac{k}{d} \right) \right)^{2k}.$$

Proof. We want to apply the Markov inequality, namely that for all $\epsilon > 0$

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,d} \left[1 - \frac{|F_n(k)|}{n} > \epsilon \right] = \mathcal{P}_{n,d}[|G_n \setminus F_n(k)| > n\epsilon] \leq \frac{1}{n\epsilon} \mathcal{E}_{n,d}[|G_n \setminus F_n(k)|]. \quad (4.2)$$

Hence, we have to estimate $\mathcal{E}_{n,d}[|G_n \setminus F_n(k)|]$.

Consider a cycle $\mathfrak{c} \subset G_n$ of length $m \in \mathbb{N}$. For $k \in \mathbb{N}$ let $N_{\mathfrak{c}}(k)$ denote the set of vertices $x \in G_n$ with neighborhoods $B_k(x)$ that are not acyclic because of that cycle. For $k < m/2$ the set $N_{\mathfrak{c}}(k)$ is empty and for $k \geq m/2$ it is included in the set of vertices that are at distance less or equal than $k - m/2$ from any vertex of \mathfrak{c} . Hence, we have $|N_{\mathfrak{c}}(k)| = 0$ for $k < m/2$ and

$$|N_{\mathfrak{c}}(k)| \leq m(d-1)^{k-m/2}$$

for $k \geq m/2$. Summing over all cycles in G_n yields

$$|G_n \setminus F_n(k)| \leq \sum_{m \geq 3} \sum_{\mathfrak{c} \in \mathcal{C}(m)} |N_{\mathfrak{c}}(k)| \leq \sum_{m=3}^{2k} m(d-1)^{k-m/2} |\mathcal{C}(m)|.$$

We combine this estimate with Lemma 7 and conclude that

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{E}_{n,d}[|G_n \setminus F_n(k)|] &\leq \frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=3}^{2k} (d-1)^{k+m/2} \left(1 + \frac{8}{n} \left(d + \frac{m}{2d} \right) \right)^m \\ &\leq \frac{1}{2} \frac{(d-1)^{2k+1/2}}{\sqrt{d-1}-1} \left(1 + \frac{8}{n} \left(d + \frac{k}{d} \right) \right)^{2k}. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting this into (4.2) finishes the proof. \square

4.2. Proof of the main results. With Lemma 8 at hand we can deduce the results of Section 2 from Theorem 4. Here we give the proofs of Theorem 3 and Theorem 2. The proof of Theorem 1 is similar.

Proof of Theorem 3. Recall the definition of $R(x)$ from the beginning of Section 3 and the definition of $F_n(k)$ from Lemma 8. We can always estimate $R(x) \geq 1$ and for $x \in F_n(k)$ we have $R(x) \geq k$. Thus Theorem 4 implies that, for all $k \in \mathbb{N}$,

$$\begin{aligned} & \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in G_n} \mathbb{E} |\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, t], V) - \mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}((-\infty, t], V)| \\ & \leq 2\pi \|\rho\|_\infty \left(2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0\right) \frac{1}{n} \left(\frac{1}{k-1} |F_n(k)| + |G_n \setminus F_n(k)|\right) \\ & \leq 2\pi \|\rho\|_\infty \left(2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0\right) \left(\frac{1}{k-1} + \frac{1}{n} |G_n \setminus F_n(k)|\right). \end{aligned} \quad (4.3)$$

We apply Lemma 8 to estimate the second term. For $\epsilon > 0$ let $\Omega(\epsilon, k)$ denote the event that $|G_n \setminus F_n(k)| \leq n\epsilon/(k-1)$ and note that by Lemma 8

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,d}[\Omega(\epsilon, k)] \geq 1 - \frac{k-1}{2n\epsilon} \frac{(d-1)^{2k+1/2}}{\sqrt{d-1}-1} \left(1 + \frac{8}{n} \left(d + \frac{k}{d}\right)\right)^{2k}. \quad (4.4)$$

Now we choose $k = \kappa \log_{d-1}(n) + 1$ with $\kappa < 1/2$. Then we see that for all fixed $\epsilon > 0$ the event $\Omega(\epsilon, \kappa \log_{d-1}(n) + 1)$ holds asymptotically almost surely. With this choice of k estimate (4.3) shows that the bound

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in G_n} \mathbb{E} |\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, t], V) - \mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}((-\infty, t], V)| & \leq (1 + \epsilon) 2\pi \|\rho\|_\infty \left(2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0\right) \frac{1}{k-1} \\ & \leq \frac{(1 + \epsilon) 2\pi}{\kappa \log_{d-1}(n)} \|\rho\|_\infty \left(2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0\right) \end{aligned}$$

holds on the event $\Omega(\epsilon, \kappa \log_{d-1}(n) + 1)$, so the bound holds asymptotically almost surely. This proves the first part of the theorem. In view of (2.8) and (2.9) the second statement is a direct consequence of the first. \square

Proof of Theorem 2. Note that the measure $\tilde{\sigma}_0$ is supported in $(-1, 1)$ and that its density is bounded by

$$\tilde{\gamma}_d = \frac{d\sqrt{d-1}}{2\pi} \frac{1}{\sqrt{d^2-4(d-1)}} \text{ if } d \leq 6 \quad \text{and} \quad \tilde{\gamma}_d = \frac{2}{\pi} \frac{d(d-1)}{d^2} \text{ if } d \geq 7.$$

Thus we can argue as in Section 3.2 to obtain the estimate

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\tilde{\mu}_{n,x}((-\infty, t]) - \tilde{\sigma}_0((-\infty, t])| \leq \frac{2\pi \tilde{\gamma}_d}{R(x)^*}. \quad (4.5)$$

Hence, on the event $\Omega(\epsilon, k)$ that $|G_n \setminus F_n(k)| \leq n\epsilon/(k-1)$ we find that

$$\begin{aligned} \sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in G_n} |\tilde{\mu}_{n,x}((-\infty, t]) - \tilde{\sigma}_0((-\infty, t])| & \leq 2\pi \tilde{\gamma}_{d_n} \left(\frac{1}{k-1} + \frac{1}{n} |G_n \setminus F_n(k)|\right) \\ & \leq (1 + \epsilon) \tilde{\gamma}_{d_n} \frac{2\pi}{k-1}. \end{aligned}$$

The probability of $\Omega(\epsilon, k)$ is bounded by (4.4). So we can again choose $k = \kappa \ln(n)/\ln(d_n - 1) + 1$ with $\kappa < 1/2$ and we note that the assumption $d_n \leq (n/\ln(n))^{1/3}$ ensures that the

condition of Lemma 8 is satisfied. We deduce that the event $\Omega(\epsilon, \kappa \ln(n)/\ln(d_n - 1) + 1)$ holds, for all $\epsilon > 0$, asymptotically almost surely and thus that the estimate

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in G_n} |\tilde{\mu}_{n,x}((-\infty, t]) - \tilde{\sigma}_0((-\infty, t])| \leq \frac{4(1+2\epsilon)}{\kappa} \frac{\ln(d_n - 1)}{\ln(n)}$$

holds, for all $\epsilon > 0$, asymptotically almost surely. Here we used the fact that $\tilde{\gamma}_{d_n}$ tends to $2/\pi$ as d_n tends to infinity. It remains to note that

$$\sup_{t \in \mathbb{R}} |\tilde{\sigma}_0((-\infty, t]) - \sigma_{sc}((-\infty, t])| \leq (1 + \epsilon) \frac{2}{\pi d_n} \quad (4.6)$$

for d_n large enough. Thus applying the triangle inequality yields the first claim. By (2.6) the second claim follows from the first. \square

5. ESTIMATES FOR THE GREEN FUNCTION AND DELOCALIZATION OF EIGENVECTORS

Here we apply the results of the previous sections to compare Green functions on the finite graph G_n with the respective Green functions on the infinite tree. Then we deduce delocalization for eigenvectors of the operators \tilde{A}_n and $H_n(V)$. In particular, we show that an eigenvector of the operator $H_n(V)$ with eigenvalue in the absolutely continuous spectrum of the infinite-volume operator $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)$ can not be localized in a neighborhood that remains bounded as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

5.1. Convergence of the Green function. Let us first introduce some notation. For $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ and $x \in G_n$ we consider diagonal elements of the Green function, the Stieltjes transform of the local spectral measures,

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_n(x, z) &= (\delta_x, (A_n - z)^{-1} \delta_x)_{l^2(G_n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda - z)^{-1} d\mu_{n,x}(\lambda), \\ \tilde{\Gamma}_n(x, z) &= (\delta_x, (\tilde{A}_n - z)^{-1} \delta_x)_{l^2(G_n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda - z)^{-1} d\tilde{\mu}_{n,x}(\lambda), \\ \Gamma_n(x, z, V) &= (\delta_x, (H_n(V) - z)^{-1} \delta_x)_{l^2(G_n)} = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda - z)^{-1} d\mu_{n,x}(\lambda, V). \end{aligned} \quad (5.1)$$

In the same way, we introduce the corresponding Green function on the infinite tree \mathcal{T}_d . One can either use resolvent expansions and the geometric structure of the tree or the explicit representations of the measures σ_0 and σ_{sc} (see (2.4) and (2.5)) to derive that, for any $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d$,

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, z) = \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda - z)^{-1} d\sigma_0(\lambda) = \frac{-z(d-2) - d\sqrt{z^2 - 4(d-1)}}{2(z^2 - d^2)} \quad (5.2)$$

and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \tilde{\Gamma}_{\mathcal{T}_{d_n}}(\hat{x}, z) = \Gamma_{sc}(z)$ with

$$\Gamma_{sc}(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\lambda - z)^{-1} d\sigma_{sc}(\lambda) = -\frac{1}{2} \left(z - \sqrt{z^2 - 4} \right).$$

Here we specify the root of a complex number as the one with positive imaginary part. As in Theorem 3, for given $x \in G_n$ we choose $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d$ from the preimage of x under the universal cover.

Corollary 9. *Let $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence of positive numbers such that $\varepsilon_n = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Then the following estimates hold asymptotically almost surely.*

- (1) Assume that $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of complex numbers with $\Im z_n \geq C(\varepsilon_n \log_{d-1}(n))^{-1}$ for a constant $C > 16\pi\gamma_d\sqrt{d-1}$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in G_n} |\Im \Gamma_n(x, z_n) - \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(z_n)| \leq \varepsilon_n.$$

- (2) Let $d_n \rightarrow \infty$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ with $d_n \leq (n/\ln(n))^{1/3}$ and assume that $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of complex numbers with $\Im z_n \geq C\varepsilon_n^{-1}(\log_{d_n-1}^{-1}(n) + d_n^{-1})$ for a constant $C > 16$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in G_n} |\Im \tilde{\Gamma}_n(x, z_n) - \Im \Gamma_{\text{sc}}(z_n)| \leq \varepsilon_n.$$

- (3) Let the random potential V satisfy the conditions of Theorem 3 and assume that $(z_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ is a sequence of complex numbers with $\Im z_n \geq C(\varepsilon_n \log_{d-1}(n))^{-1}$ for a constant $C > 8\pi\|\rho\|_\infty(2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0)$. Then we have

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{x \in G_n} \mathbb{E} |\Im \Gamma_n(x, z_n, V) - \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, z_n, V)| \leq \varepsilon_n.$$

Proof. Let us show how the first statement can be deduced from Theorem 1. The other two statements follow in the same way from Theorem 2 and Theorem 3 respectively.

For $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ write $z = E + i\eta$, with $E \in \mathbb{R}$ and $\eta > 0$, and

$$g_{E,\eta}(\lambda) = \Im(\lambda - z)^{-1} = \frac{\eta}{(E - \lambda)^2 + \eta^2}.$$

We note that

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} \left| \frac{\partial g_{E,\eta}}{\partial \lambda}(\lambda) \right| d\lambda = \frac{2}{\eta}. \quad (5.3)$$

By (5.1) we have

$$\Im \Gamma_n(x, z_n) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{E_n, \eta_n}(\lambda) d\mu_{n,x}(\lambda) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mu_{n,x}((-\infty, \lambda]) dg_{E_n, \eta_n}(\lambda)$$

and by (5.2)

$$\Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(z_n) = - \int_{\mathbb{R}} \sigma_0((-\infty, \lambda]) dg_{E_n, \eta_n}(\lambda).$$

Combining these identities with Theorem 1 and with (5.3) yields the claim. \square

Remark. The third statement of the corollary gives a partial answer to a question raised in [Fro11], whether

$$\mathbb{E} |\Gamma_n(0, E + i\eta_n, V) - \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(0, E + i\eta_n, V)| \rightarrow 0$$

for a sequence of positive numbers η_n that is of order $o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$. At least for the imaginary part of the Green function, the third statement of Corollary 9 can be interpreted in this way, if the vertex 0 is chosen at random with uniform probability from G_n .

5.2. Delocalization of eigenvectors. In this subsection we analyze how spectral properties of the infinite-volume operator $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)$ influence the behavior of eigenvectors in finite volume. Since properties of eigenvectors are closely connected with properties of the Green function this analysis relies on comparing the Green function on a random regular graph with the Green function on the infinite tree.

If the spectrum of the infinite-volume operator is absolutely continuous, the corresponding generalized eigenfunctions are delocalized: they are not square-summable and in particular not localized to a bounded set. In this case, if a finite-volume operator approximates the operator in infinite volume, eigenvectors of the former (even though they are now square summable, of course) should also be delocalized: typically eigenvectors should be distributed evenly over the whole graph; in contrast to localization where a few entries are much larger than the rest.

In the following we establish results in this direction based on the convergence of spectral measures and Green functions derived in the previous sections.

Let us first consider the rescaled adjacency matrix \tilde{A}_n on a random regular graph with degree d_n tending to infinity as $n \rightarrow \infty$. In this case the spectral measure in the limit of infinite volume $n \rightarrow \infty$ is given by the semicircle measure σ_{sc} defined in (2.5). This measure is purely absolutely continuous with bounded density. Thus eigenvectors of \tilde{A}_n are expected to be delocalized for large n . Proposition 10 shows that this is justified. The result is similar to [DP12, Theorem 3] and the remark after Theorem 2 applies. We include the statement and its proof because it serves as an illustration for the more involved result about eigenvectors of random Schrödinger operators that is discussed below.

Let us remark that the same method yields a similar statement for the adjacency matrix A_n on a random regular graph with fixed degree. However, in this case better results have recently been derived in [BL], where the bounds decay not logarithmically but as a small power of n .

Proposition 10. *Let d_n satisfy the conditions of Theorem 2 and let Λ_n be a deterministic subset from n vertices with $|\Lambda_n| \leq \ln(n)$.*

Let $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{n,d_n}$ be a random regular graph of degree d_n . Then for any $l^2(G_n)$ -normalized eigenvector ϕ of the operator \tilde{A}_n the estimate

$$\sum_{x \in \Lambda_n} |\phi(x)|^2 \leq C \left(\frac{\ln(d_n - 1)}{\ln(n)} + \frac{1}{d_n} \right) |\Lambda_n|$$

holds asymptotically almost surely with a uniform constant $C > 0$.

Remark. The same estimate holds asymptotically almost surely if one first chooses $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{n,d}$ at random and then the subset $\Lambda_n \subset G_n$ at random with uniform probability.

Proof of Proposition 10. Let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ and $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^n$ denote the eigenvectors and eigenvalues of the operator \tilde{A}_n . Then for any $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and $\eta > 0$ we estimate

$$\sum_{x \in \Lambda_n} |\varphi_m(x)|^2 \leq \eta \sum_{x \in \Lambda_n} \sum_{j=1}^n \frac{\eta}{(\lambda_m - \lambda_j)^2 + \eta^2} |\varphi_j(x)|^2 = \eta \sum_{x \in \Lambda_n} \Im \tilde{\Gamma}_n(x, \lambda_m + i\eta), \quad (5.4)$$

where in the last step we used (5.1).

To derive an upper bound on $\Im \tilde{\Gamma}_n(x, \lambda_m + i\eta)$ we compare with the Stieltjes transform of the semicircle distribution (2.5). We recall the definition of the function $g_{E,\eta}$ from the proof

of Corollary 9 and note that for all $\eta > 0$

$$\Im \Gamma_{\text{sc}}(\lambda_m + i\eta) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\lambda_m, \eta}(\lambda) d\sigma_{\text{sc}}(\lambda) \leq \frac{2}{\pi} \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\lambda_m, \eta}(\lambda) d\lambda = 2. \quad (5.5)$$

Similarly, we estimate the difference

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Im \tilde{\Gamma}_n(x, \lambda_m + i\eta) - \Im \Gamma_{\text{sc}}(\lambda_m + i\eta) \right| &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\lambda_m, \eta}(\lambda) d\tilde{\mu}_{n,x}(\lambda) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\lambda_m, \eta}(\lambda) d\sigma_{\text{sc}}(\lambda) \right| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} (\tilde{\mu}_{n,x}((-\infty, \lambda]) - \sigma_{\text{sc}}((-\infty, \lambda])) dg_{\lambda_m, \eta}(\lambda) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\tilde{\mu}_{n,x}((-\infty, \lambda]) - \sigma_{\text{sc}}((-\infty, \lambda])| |g'_{\lambda_m, \eta}(\lambda)| d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Inserting estimates (4.5), (4.6), and (5.3) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \left| \Im \tilde{\Gamma}_n(x, \lambda_m + i\eta) - \Im \Gamma_{\text{sc}}(\lambda_m + i\eta) \right| &\leq C \left(\frac{1}{R(x)^*} + \frac{1}{d_n} \right) \int_{\mathbb{R}} |g'_{\lambda_m, \eta}(\lambda)| d\lambda \\ &\leq \frac{2C}{\eta} \left(\frac{1}{R(x)^*} + \frac{1}{d_n} \right) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in G_n$ and $\eta > 0$ with a suitable constant $C > 0$. We combine this bound with (5.5) and obtain

$$\Im \tilde{\Gamma}_n(x, \lambda_m + i\eta) \leq 2 + \frac{2C}{\eta} \left(\frac{1}{R(x)^*} + \frac{1}{d_n} \right).$$

Recall the definition of $F_n(k) \subset G_n$ from Lemma 8 and the fact that $R(x) \geq k$ for $x \in F_n(k)$. Let us assume that $\Lambda_n \subset F_n(k)$. Under this assumption we combine the previous bound with (5.4) and take the limit $\eta \downarrow 0$. This yields

$$\sum_{x \in \Lambda_n} |\varphi_m(x)|^2 \leq 2C \left(\frac{1}{k-1} + \frac{1}{d_n} \right) |\Lambda_n| \quad (5.6)$$

for any eigenfunction φ_m , $m \in \{1, \dots, n\}$, on the event $\Lambda_n \subset F_n(k)$.

Now we estimate the probability of this event with the help of Lemma 8. We remark that, for $|\Lambda_n| < |F_n(k)|$,

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,d}[\Lambda_n \subset F_n(k)] = \binom{n - |\Lambda_n|}{|F_n(k)| - |\Lambda_n|} \binom{n}{|F_n(k)|}^{-1} = \frac{(n - |\Lambda_n|)! |F_n(k)|!}{(|F_n(k)| - |\Lambda_n|)! n!}.$$

For a parameter $0 < \tau_n < 1 - |\Lambda_n|/n$ we introduce the event $\Omega(\tau_n, k) = \{|F_n(k)| > n(1 - \tau_n)\}$ and estimate

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,d}[\Lambda_n \subset F_n(k)] \geq \mathcal{P}_{n,d}[\Lambda_n \subset F_n(k) \mid \Omega(\tau_n, k)] \mathcal{P}_{n,d}[\Omega(\tau_n, k)].$$

The first factor is bounded below by

$$\frac{(n - |\Lambda_n|)! (n(1 - \tau_n))!}{(n(1 - \tau_n) - |\Lambda_n|)! n!} \geq \left(\frac{n(1 - \tau_n) - |\Lambda_n|}{n} \right)^{|\Lambda_n|} = \left(1 - \tau_n - \frac{|\Lambda_n|}{n} \right)^{|\Lambda_n|}$$

and from Lemma 8 we obtain that the second factor is bounded below by

$$1 - \frac{1}{2n\tau_n} (d-1)^{2k} \frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{\sqrt{d-1}-1} \left(1 + \frac{8}{n} \left(d + \frac{k}{d} \right) \right)^{2k}.$$

Now we choose τ_n comparable to $1/\sqrt{n}$ and $k = \kappa \log_{d_n-1}(n) + 1$ with $\kappa < 1/4$. Then both lower bounds tend to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and we get

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,d} [\Lambda_n \subset F_n (\kappa \log_{d_n-1}(n) + 1)] = 1 - o(1)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$. Inserting this choice of k in the bound (5.6) proves the claim. \square

Let us come back to regular graphs with fixed degree and consider random Schrödinger operators. In this case the analysis of eigenvectors is more challenging because various different phenomena occur regarding the infinite-volume operator: The spectrum of a random Schrödinger operator on an infinite tree can consist of different components, including absolutely continuous spectrum but also pure-point spectrum. We refer to [War12] for an overview of spectral properties of the operator $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)$, see also Appendix A.2 where we state selected results.

Existence of pure-point spectrum and exponential localization of the corresponding eigenfunctions of $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)$ was proved in [Aiz94]. Therefore one cannot expect that all eigenvectors of the finite volume operator $H_n(V)$ on a random regular graph are delocalized. The existence of absolutely continuous spectrum of $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)$ was also established, first in [Kle98] and later in [ASW06, FHS07] and the regime where absolutely continuous spectrum can be found was recently extended in [AW]. Eigenfunctions that correspond to eigenvalues within the absolutely continuous spectrum are not localized, hence one should find delocalization also for certain eigenvectors of the finite-volume operator $H_n(V)$ that approximates $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)$.

A relevant criterion for absolutely continuous spectrum is positivity of the imaginary part of the Green function. Thus one defines

$$\sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_{\mathcal{T}_d}) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P} \left[\lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(x, \lambda + i\eta, V) > 0 \right] > 0 \right\}.$$

This set is almost surely deterministic and does not depend on the choice of $x \in \mathcal{T}_d$. For almost all V it is the support of the absolutely continuous component of the spectrum [AW, AW12]. In Theorem 11 we show that eigenvectors of $H_n(V)$ corresponding to eigenvalues in $\sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_{\mathcal{T}_d})$ are delocalized.

From a technical point of view an important ingredient in the proof of delocalization for the adjacency matrix alone is the uniform boundedness of the density of the limiting spectral measure that allows for estimate (5.5). For random Schrödinger operators the density of the limiting spectral measure $\mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, x}$ is given by $\lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(x, \lambda + i\eta, V)$. This limit exists almost everywhere and it is finite if λ lies within the absolutely continuous spectrum. However, even for compact intervals $I \subset \sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_{\mathcal{T}_d})$ it is not clear whether $\sup_{\lambda \in I, \eta > 0} \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(x, \lambda + i\eta, V)$ has finite expectation. Therefore we can not treat single eigenvectors but we have to select a suitable combination as follows.

For a given realization of the potential V on the tree \mathcal{T}_d , a graph $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{n,d}$, and a vertex $x_0 \in G_n$ we identify the potential on the graph with the potential on the tree as described in Section 2.2. Let $(\lambda_j)_{j=1}^n$ and $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ denote the eigenvalues and corresponding $l^2(G_n)$ -normalized eigenvectors of the operator $H_n(V)$ and let $I \subset \mathbb{R}$ be bounded and measurable. For $j = 1, 2, \dots, n$ we define non-negative coefficients

$$c_j(x_0, I) = |\varphi_j(x_0)|^2 \text{ if } \lambda_j \in I \quad \text{and} \quad c_j(x_0, I) = 0 \text{ if } \lambda_j \notin I$$

and we note that $\sum_{j=1}^n c_j(x_0, I) \leq 1$.

Remark. Let us emphasize that an eigenvector φ_j of $H_n(V)$ that is localized close to the vertex x_0 leads to a coefficient c_j of order 1. The following result shows that this can not happen for large n and for eigenvectors with eigenvalues within the absolutely continuous spectrum of $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}$.

Theorem 11. *Assume that the density ρ of the random potential V is bounded and satisfies $\text{supp}(\rho) = (-\rho_0, \rho_0)$ with $0 < \rho_0 < \infty$. Let $I \subset \sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_{\mathcal{T}_d})$ be bounded and measurable.*

For a random regular graph $G_n \in \mathcal{G}_{n,d}$ choose a vertex $x_0 \in G_n$ at random with uniform probability. Let $(\varphi_j)_{j=1}^n$ denote the $l^2(G_n)$ -normalized eigenvectors of $H_n(V)$ and consider the coefficients $c_j(x_0, I)$ as defined above.

Then for any sequence $(\varepsilon_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}}$ of positive numbers with $\varepsilon_n = o(1)$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$ the estimate

$$\sum_{x \in B_r(x_0)} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(x_0, I) |\varphi_j(x)|^2 \leq \frac{|B_r(x_0)|}{\varepsilon_n \sqrt{\log_{d-1}(n)}}$$

holds asymptotically almost surely for all $r \leq \ln \ln n$.

Proof. First we fix a graph G_n and a vertex $x_0 \in G_n$. Recall the definition of $F_n(k) \subset G_n$ from Lemma 8. Let us assume that $x_0 \in F_n(k)$ and that $r < k$. (Towards the end of the proof we show that this is satisfied asymptotically almost surely with an appropriate choice of k .) Then each $x \in B_r(x_0)$ satisfies $x \in F_n(k-r)$ or in other words $B_{k-r}(x) \subset B_k(x_0)$ is acyclic. For a given realization of the potential V on the tree \mathcal{T}_d we consider the corresponding potential on the graph G_n as explained in Section 2.2. In particular, we get that the values of the potential agree on $B_k(x_0) \subset G_n$ and $B_k(\hat{x}_0) \subset \mathcal{T}_d$, where \hat{x}_0 is the vertex corresponding to x_0 under the universal cover.

After these preliminary remarks note that we have, as in (5.4),

$$|\varphi_j(x)|^2 \leq \eta \Im \Gamma(x, \lambda_j + i\eta, V)$$

for all $j \in \{1, \dots, n\}$ and all $\eta > 0$ and therefore

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j |\varphi_j(x)|^2 \leq \eta \mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \Im \Gamma_n(x, \lambda_j + i\eta, V) \quad (5.7)$$

for all $\eta > 0$. Here and in the remainder of the proof we write $c_j = c_j(x_0, I)$ for short.

To derive an upper bound on $\Im \Gamma_n(x, \lambda_j + i\eta, V)$ we compare this quantity with the Green function on the tree \mathcal{T}_d . As in Theorem 3 and Theorem 4 let $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d$ be the preimage of $x \in G_n$ under the universal cover and recall the definition of the function $g_{E,\eta}$ from the proof of Corollary 9. For $\eta > 0$ and any eigenvalue $\lambda_m \in I$ we have

$$\begin{aligned} & |\Im \Gamma_n(x, \lambda_m + i\eta, V) - \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda_m + i\eta, V)| \\ &= \left| \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\lambda_m, \eta}(\lambda) d\mu_{n,x}(\lambda, V) - \int_{\mathbb{R}} g_{\lambda_m, \eta}(\lambda) d\mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}(\lambda, V) \right| \\ &\leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} |\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, \lambda], V) - \mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}((-\infty, \lambda], V)| \left(\sup_{\xi \in I} |g'_{\xi, \eta}(\lambda)| \right) d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

Note that the coefficient c_j is non-zero only if the corresponding eigenvalue λ_j lies in I and that the sum of the coefficients c_j is bounded by one. Hence, we find that

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j |\Im \Gamma_n(x, \lambda_j + i\eta, V) - \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda_j + i\eta, V)| \\ & \leq \int_{\mathbb{R}} \mathbb{E} [|\mu_{n,x}((-\infty, \lambda], V) - \mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, \hat{x}}((-\infty, \lambda], V)|] \left(\sup_{\xi \in I} |g'_{\xi, \eta}(\lambda)| \right) d\lambda \end{aligned}$$

and Theorem 4 yields the upper bound

$$2\pi \|\rho\|_{\infty} \left(2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0 \right) \frac{1}{R(x)^*} \int_{\mathbb{R}} \left(\sup_{\xi \in I} |g'_{\xi, \eta}(\lambda)| \right) d\lambda \leq C \left(\frac{|I|}{\eta} + 1 \right) \frac{1}{\eta R^*(x)},$$

where we used the fact that the integral of the supremum is bounded by a constant times $\eta^{-1}(|I|\eta^{-1} + 1)$. Here and in the remainder of the proof with C we denote various positive constants that might depend only on d and on the density ρ .

In Lemma 12 below we prove the following estimate which is a consequence of the assumption $I \subset \sigma_{ac}(H_{\mathcal{T}_d})$: For all $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d$ and $\eta > 0$ we have

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda_j + i\eta, V) \leq C|I|.$$

Combining the previous two bounds with (5.7) yields

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j |\varphi_j(x)|^2 \leq C \left(|I|\eta + \frac{1}{R(x)^*} + \frac{|I|}{\eta R(x)^*} \right) \leq C|I| \left(\eta + \frac{1}{\eta R(x)^*} \right)$$

for $\eta > 0$ small enough. Applying the Markov inequality we deduce that for any $\delta_n > 0$

$$\mathbb{P} \left[\sum_{x \in B_r(x_0)} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j |\varphi_j(x)|^2 \leq \frac{C|I|}{\delta_n} \sum_{x \in B_r(x_0)} \left(\eta + \frac{1}{\eta R(x)^*} \right) \right] \geq 1 - \delta_n. \quad (5.8)$$

It remains to estimate $R(x)^*$. Recall that we assumed $x_0 \in F_n(k)$. Since x_0 is chosen from G_n at random with uniform probability we can estimate the probability of this event with the help of Lemma 8. Indeed, we have, for any $0 < \tau_n < 1$,

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{P}_{n,d}[x_0 \in F_n(k)] & \geq \mathcal{P}_{n,d}[x_0 \in F_n(k) \mid |F_n(k)| \geq n(1 - \tau_n)] \mathcal{P}_{n,d}[|F_n(k)| \geq n(1 - \tau_n)] \\ & \geq (1 - \tau_n) \mathcal{P}_{n,d}[|F_n(k)| \geq n(1 - \tau_n)]. \end{aligned}$$

By Lemma 8 the latter probability is bounded below by

$$1 - \frac{1}{2n\tau_n} (d-1)^{2k} \frac{\sqrt{d-1}}{\sqrt{d-1}-1} \left(1 + \frac{8}{n} \left(d + \frac{k}{d} \right) \right)^{2k}.$$

Let us now choose $k = \kappa \log_{d-1}(n) + 1$ with $\kappa < 1/4$ and τ_n comparable to $1/\sqrt{n}$. Then the lower bound tends to 1 as $n \rightarrow \infty$ and we get

$$\mathcal{P}_{n,d}[x_0 \in F_n(\kappa \log_{d-1}(n) + 1)] = 1 - o(1) \quad (5.9)$$

as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

By assumption, $r \leq \ln \ln n < \kappa \log_{d-1}(n) + 1$ for n large enough. Hence, for $x_0 \in F_n(\kappa \log_{d-1}(n) + 1)$ we find $x \in F_n(\kappa \log_{d-1}(n) - r + 1)$ for all $x \in B_r(x_0)$ and therefore $R(x)^* \geq \kappa \log_{d-1}(n) - r$. We choose $\eta = (\kappa \log_{d-1}(n) - r)^{-1/2}$ such that

$$\sum_{x \in B_r(x_0)} \left(\eta + \frac{1}{\eta R(x)^*} \right) \leq \frac{2|B_r(x_0)|}{\sqrt{\kappa \log_{d-1}(n) - r}} \leq \frac{4|B_r(x_0)|}{\sqrt{\kappa \log_{d-1}(n)}}$$

for n large enough.

With this choice of parameters the bound in (5.8) reads as

$$\sum_{x \in B_r(x_0)} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j |\varphi_j(x)|^2 \leq \frac{4C|I||B_r(x_0)|}{\delta_n \sqrt{\kappa \log_{d-1}(n)}} \quad (5.10)$$

and it is valid for n large enough on the event $\{x_0 \in F_n(\kappa \log_{d-1}(n) + 1)\}$ with probability \mathbb{P} at least $1 - \delta_n$. Finally we choose δ_n comparable to $4C|I|\varepsilon_n/\sqrt{\kappa}$ such that (5.10) corresponds to the estimate claimed in the theorem. Then relations (5.8) and (5.9) show that this estimate holds asymptotically almost surely and the proof is complete. \square

The proof of Theorem 11 relies on the following estimate for the Green function on the tree. For this estimate it is essential that $I \subset \sigma_{\text{ac}}(H_{\mathcal{T}_d})$.

Lemma 12. *Under the conditions of Theorem 11 we have*

$$\mathbb{E} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j(x_0, I) \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda_j + i\eta, V) \leq C|I|$$

for all $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d$ and $\eta > 0$ with a constant $C > 0$ depending only on the degree d and on the density ρ .

Proof. The proof is based on estimate (A.3), the fact that within the absolutely continuous spectrum the imaginary part of the Green function has finite inverse moments. This was recently proved in [AW12]. To apply this result we rely on recursion properties of the Green function on trees and on results from rank-one perturbation theory.

Throughout the proof we fix a graph G_n and a vertex $x_0 \in G_n$. For a realization of the random potential $V = (\omega_x)_{x \in G_n}$ we write \hat{V} for the same collection of random variables with ω_{x_0} replaced by zero. Then we can write

$$H_n(V) = H_n(\hat{V}) + \delta_{x_0} \omega_{x_0},$$

where δ_{x_0} stands for the one-dimensional projection associated with vertex x_0 . From the resolvent identity one gets for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$

$$\Gamma_n(x, z, V) = \frac{\Gamma_n(x, z, \hat{V})}{1 + \omega_{x_0} \Gamma_n(x, z, \hat{V})} = \frac{1}{\omega_{x_0} - \Xi_{x_0}(z)}$$

with $\Xi_{x_0}(z) = -\Gamma_n(x, z, \hat{V})^{-1}$. We emphasize that this quantity is independent of ω_{x_0} , the value of the random potential V at x_0 .

Based on these observations one can derive the following important relation of the spectral measure and Lebesgue measure, see [DLS85, SW86, Aiz94], namely that

$$d\mu_{n, x_0}(\lambda, V) = \delta(\Xi_{x_0}(\lambda) - \omega_{x_0}) d\lambda,$$

with $\Xi_{x_0}(\lambda) = \lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \Xi_{x_0}(\lambda + i\eta)$. By definition of the coefficients $c_j = c_j(x_0, I)$ we have, for any $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d$,

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{j=1}^n c_j \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda_j + i\eta, V) &= \sum_{j: \lambda_j \in I} |\varphi_j(x_0)|^2 \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda_j + i\eta, V) \\ &= \int_I \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda + i\eta, V) d\mu_{n, x_0}(\lambda, V) \end{aligned}$$

and it follows that

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda_j + i\eta, V) = \int_I \delta(\Xi_{x_0}(\lambda) - \omega_{x_0}) \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda + i\eta, V) d\lambda. \quad (5.11)$$

To estimate the right-hand side we rely on some special properties of the Green function on trees. If we remove a vertex \hat{x} from the tree \mathcal{T}_d , it is decomposed into d independent infinite rooted trees that are rooted at the nearest neighbors of \hat{x} . (We remark that these trees are no longer regular, since the degree at the root equals $d-1$.) Let $N(\hat{x}) = \{y \in \mathcal{T}_d : d(\hat{x}, y) = 1\}$ denote the set of nearest neighbors and for $y \in N(\hat{x})$ let T_y denote the rooted tree with root at y . In the same way as on the regular tree \mathcal{T}_d we define the operators $H_{T_y}(V)$ and for $u \in T_y$ and $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ the respective Green functions $\Gamma_{T_y}(u, z, V)$.

Employing the resolvent equation one can show the fundamental recursion formula

$$\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, z, V) = \frac{1}{\omega_{\hat{x}} - z - \sum_{y \in N(\hat{x})} \Gamma_{T_y}(y, z, V)}.$$

From this relation it follows that for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$

$$\Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, z, V) = |\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, z, V)|^2 \left(\sum_{y \in N(\hat{x})} \Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, z, V) + \Im z \right).$$

Applying the recursion formula once more, we estimate

$$|\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, z, V)|^2 \leq \left(\sum_{y \in N(\hat{x})} \Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, z, V) + \Im z \right)^{-2}$$

and we obtain $\Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, z, V) \leq (\sum_{y \in N(\hat{x})} \Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, z, V))^{-1}$. (Note that for $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$ the imaginary part of the Green function is always positive.) We combine this estimate with (5.11) and arrive at the upper bound

$$\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda_j + i\eta, V) \leq \int_I \frac{\delta(\Xi_{x_0}(\lambda) - \omega_{x_0})}{\sum_{y \in N(\hat{x})} \Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, \lambda + i\eta, V)} d\lambda, \quad (5.12)$$

valid for all $\hat{x} \in \mathcal{T}_d$ and $\eta > 0$.

Let $\hat{x}_0 \in \mathcal{T}_d$ denote the vertex corresponding to $x_0 \in G_n$ under the universal cover. By construction, only one of the rooted trees T_y , $y \in N(\hat{x})$, contains the vertex \hat{x}_0 (for $\hat{x} = \hat{x}_0$ none of them does). With $N^+(\hat{x}) = \{y \in N(\hat{x}) : \hat{x}_0 \notin T_y\}$ we denote the subset of nearest neighbors that point away from \hat{x}_0 . Then the collection of random variables $\Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, \lambda + i\eta, V)$, $y \in N^+(\hat{x})$, is independent of $\omega_{\hat{x}_0}$, the value of the random potential V at \hat{x}_0 .

Recall that $\omega_{\hat{x}_0} = \omega_{x_0}$ and that $\Xi_{x_0}(\lambda)$ is also independent of this value. Hence, we condition on the value of the potential at all other vertices and apply (5.12) to obtain

$$\begin{aligned} & \mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda_j + i\eta, V) \middle| (\omega_x)_{x \neq x_0} \right] \\ & \leq \int_I \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{\delta(\Xi_{x_0}(\lambda) - \omega_{x_0})}{\sum_{y \in N^+(\hat{x})} \Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, \lambda + i\eta, V)} \middle| (\omega_x)_{x \neq x_0} \right] d\lambda. \end{aligned}$$

By assumption the density ρ of the random potential is bounded, thus we can estimate the right hand side by

$$\int_I \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{\delta(\Xi_{x_0}(\lambda) - v)}{\sum_{y \in N^+(\hat{x})} \Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, \lambda + i\eta, V)} \rho(v) dv d\lambda \leq \|\rho\|_{\infty} \int_I \frac{1}{\sum_{y \in N^+(\hat{x})} \Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, \lambda + i\eta, V)} d\lambda$$

and we obtain

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n c_j \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda_j + i\eta, V) \right] \leq \|\rho\|_{\infty} \int_I \mathbb{E} \left[\frac{1}{\sum_{y \in N^+(\hat{x})} \Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, \lambda + i\eta, V)} \right] d\lambda.$$

Jensen's inequality tells us that

$$\sum_{y \in N^+(\hat{x})} \Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, \lambda + i\eta, V) \geq (d-1) \prod_{y \in N^+(\hat{x})} \Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, \lambda + i\eta, V)^{1/(d-1)}$$

and using the fact that the variables $\Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, \lambda + i\eta, V)$, $y \in N^+(\hat{x})$, are independent we conclude

$$\mathbb{E} \left[\sum_{j=1}^n c_j(x_0, I) \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(\hat{x}, \lambda_j + i\eta, V) \right] \leq \frac{\|\rho\|_{\infty}}{d-1} \int_I \prod_{y \in N^+(\hat{x})} \mathbb{E} \left[\Im \Gamma_{T_y}(y, \lambda + i\eta, V)^{-1/(d-1)} \right] d\lambda.$$

Hence, applying (A.3) yields the claim of the lemma. \square

APPENDIX A. AUXILIARY RESULTS

In the appendix we collect some known results that are used in the previous sections. We indicate where proofs can be found in the literature.

A.1. Orthonormal polynomials and Gaussian quadrature. In Section 3 we repeatedly used the following quadrature formula for polynomials. A proof and further references can be found for example in [Akh65, Chapter 1.4.1].

Lemma 13. *Let σ be a measure on the real line with finite moments and let $(P_n)_{n \in \mathbb{N}_0}$ denote the orthonormal polynomials with respect to σ . For $N \in \mathbb{N}$ and $s \in \mathbb{R}$ set $\hat{P}_{N+1} = P_{N+1} + sP_N$ and let $\lambda_1 < \lambda_2 < \dots < \lambda_{N+1}$ denote the zeros of \hat{P}_{N+1} .*

Then the identity

$$\int_{\mathbb{R}} R(\lambda) d\sigma(\lambda) = \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \frac{R(\lambda_k)}{\sum_{n=0}^N P_n(\lambda_k)}$$

holds for any polynomial R of degree less or equal than $2N$.

A.2. Spectrum and Green function on the infinite tree. Here we mention some results about the spectrum of the random Schrödinger operator $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)$ defined in Section 2.2 and about the Green function $\Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(x, z, V)$ defined in Section 5.1. We refer to the books [Kir89, CL90, PF92] for more information and further references.

Recall that the random potential V is defined as a multiplication operator

$$(V\phi)(x) = \omega_x \phi(x), \quad \phi \in l^2(\mathcal{T}_d), \quad x \in \mathcal{T}_d,$$

where $(\omega_x)_{x \in \mathcal{T}_d}$ are independent identically distributed real random variables with density ρ . Hence one can refer to the theory of ergodic operators to determine the spectrum of $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}$. In [KS80, KM82] it is shown that the spectrum corresponds almost surely to the set-sum of the spectrum of the adjacency matrix and the support of ρ . On the tree the spectrum of $A_{\mathcal{T}_d}$ is given by $(-2\sqrt{d-1}, 2\sqrt{d-1})$. So under the assumption $\text{supp}(\rho) = (-\rho_0, \rho_0)$ the spectrum of $H_{\mathcal{T}_d}(V)$ is almost surely given by the deterministic set $(-2\sqrt{d-1} - \rho_0, 2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0)$. In particular, the spectral measure $\mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, x}$ satisfies, for all $x \in \mathcal{T}_d$,

$$\text{supp}(\mu_{\mathcal{T}_d, x}) = (-2\sqrt{d-1} - \rho_0, 2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0) \quad (\text{A.1})$$

almost surely and this implies $\text{supp}(\sigma_\rho) = (-2\sqrt{d-1} - \rho_0, 2\sqrt{d-1} + \rho_0)$.

It was noticed by Wegner [Weg81] that regularity of the distribution of ω_x implies regularity of the density of states measure: Under the assumption $\|\rho\|_\infty < \infty$ one has

$$\left\| \frac{d\sigma_\rho}{d\lambda} \right\|_\infty \leq \|\rho\|_\infty. \quad (\text{A.2})$$

Along with the spectrum also the spectral components, the pure-point spectrum, the singular continuous spectrum, and the absolutely continuous spectrum form almost surely deterministic sets. It is the subject of extensive research to determine the location of these spectral components and we refer to [War12] for an overview of results and further references.

One useful criterion for absolutely continuous spectrum is that the imaginary part of the green function does not vanish. So one considers the set

$$\sigma_{ac}(H_{\mathcal{T}_d}) = \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} : \mathbb{P} \left[\lim_{\eta \downarrow 0} \Im \Gamma_{\mathcal{T}_d}(x, \lambda + i\eta, V) > 0 \right] > 0 \right\}$$

that also forms a deterministic set that does not depend on $x \in \mathcal{T}_d$. For almost every realization of the randomness $\sigma_{ac}(H_{\mathcal{T}_d})$ is the support of the absolutely continuous component of the spectrum [AW, AW12]. Within this set the imaginary part of the Green function has finite inverse moments. This fact was recently established in [AW12, Theorem 2.4]: Let $I \subset \sigma_{ac}(H_{\mathcal{T}_d})$ be a bounded and measurable set. Consider an infinite rooted tree T and let 0 denote the vertex at the root. Then there is a $\delta > 0$ such that the estimate

$$\text{ess sup}_{\lambda \in I, \eta > 0} \mathbb{E} \left[(\Im \Gamma_T(0, \lambda + i\eta, V))^{-3-\delta} \right] < \infty \quad (\text{A.3})$$

holds.

Acknowledgments. The author wishes to thank Michael Aizenman, Sasha Sodin and Philippe Sosoe for helpful discussions. Financial support from DFG grant GE 2369/1-1 and NSF grant PHY-1122309 is gratefully acknowledged.

REFERENCES

- [AGZ10] Greg W. Anderson, Alice Guionnet, and Ofer Zeitouni, *An introduction to random matrices*, Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 118, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2010.
- [Aiz94] M. Aizenman, *Localization at weak disorder: some elementary bounds*, Rev. Math. Phys. **6** (1994), no. 5A, 1163–1182.
- [Akh65] N. I. Akhiezer, *The classical moment problem and some related questions in analysis*, Hafner Publishing Co., New York, 1965.
- [ASW06] M. Aizenman, R. Sims, and S. Warzel, *Stability of the absolutely continuous spectrum of random Schrödinger operators on tree graphs*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **136** (2006), no. 3, 363–394.
- [AW] M. Aizenman and S. Warzel, *Resonant delocalization for random Schrödinger operators on tree graphs*, To appear in J. Euro. Math. Soc.
- [AW12] Michael Aizenman and Simone Warzel, *Absolutely continuous spectrum implies ballistic transport for quantum particles in a random potential on tree graphs*, J. Math. Phys. **53** (2012), no. 9, 095205, 15.
- [BL] S. Brooks and E. Lindenstrauss, *Non-localization of eigenfunctions on large regular graphs*, to appear in Israel J. Math.
- [Bol01] Béla Bollobás, *Random graphs*, second ed., Cambridge Studies in Advanced Mathematics, vol. 73, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001.
- [BRTT] G. Biroli, A. C. Ribeiro-Teixeira, and M. Tarzia, *Difference between level statistics, ergodicity and localization transitions on the Bethe lattice*, Preprint: arXiv:1211.7334.
- [BS10] Zhidong Bai and Jack W. Silverstein, *Spectral analysis of large dimensional random matrices*, second ed., Springer Series in Statistics, Springer, New York, 2010.
- [CL90] René Carmona and Jean Lacroix, *Spectral theory of random Schrödinger operators*, Probability and its Applications, Birkhäuser Boston Inc., Boston, MA, 1990.
- [Dei07] Percy Deift, *Universality for mathematical and physical systems*, International Congress of Mathematicians. Vol. I, Eur. Math. Soc., Zürich, 2007, pp. 125–152.
- [DLS85] François Delyon, Yves Lévy, and Bernard Souillard, *Anderson localization for multidimensional systems at large disorder or large energy*, Comm. Math. Phys. **100** (1985), no. 4, 463–470.
- [DP12] Ioana Dumitriu and Soumink Pal, *Sparse regular random graphs: Spectral density and eigenvectors*, Ann. Probab. **40** (2012), no. 5, 2197–2235.
- [EKYY] László Erdős, Antti Knowles, Horng-Tzer Yau, and Jun Yin, *Spectral statistics of Erdős-Rényi Graphs I: local semicircle law*, to appear in Ann. Prob.
- [EKYY12] ———, *Spectral statistics of Erdős-Rényi Graphs II: Eigenvalue spacing and the extreme eigenvalues*, Comm. Math. Phys. **314** (2012), no. 3, 587–640.
- [Elo08] Yehonatan Elon, *Eigenvectors of the discrete Laplacian on regular graphs—a statistical approach*, J. Phys. A **41** (2008), no. 43, 435203, 17.
- [EPRSY10] László Erdős, Sandrine Péché, José A. Ramírez, Benjamin Schlein, and Horng-Tzer Yau, *Bulk universality for Wigner matrices*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **63** (2010), no. 7, 895–925.
- [ERSTVY10] László Erdős, José Ramírez, Benjamin Schlein, Terence Tao, Van Vu, and Horng-Tzer Yau, *Bulk universality for Wigner Hermitian matrices with subexponential decay*, Math. Res. Lett. **17** (2010), no. 4, 667–674.
- [EYY12] László Erdős, Horng-Tzer Yau, and Jun Yin, *Bulk universality for generalized Wigner matrices*, Probab. Theory Related Fields **154** (2012), no. 1-2, 341–407.
- [FHS07] Richard Froese, David Hasler, and Wolfgang Spitzer, *Absolutely continuous spectrum for the Anderson model on a tree: a geometric proof of Klein’s theorem*, Comm. Math. Phys. **269** (2007), no. 1, 239–257.
- [Fri08] Joel Friedman, *A proof of Alon’s second eigenvalue conjecture and related problems*, Mem. Amer. Math. Soc. **195** (2008), no. 910, viii+100.
- [Fro11] R. Froese, *Spectral concentration estimates for trees*, Oberwolfach Reports **8** (2011), no. 4, 2850–2851.

- [JMRR99] Dmitry Jakobson, Stephen D. Miller, Igor Rivin, and Zeév Rudnick, *Eigenvalue spacings for regular graphs*, Emerging applications of number theory (Minneapolis, MN, 1996), IMA Vol. Math. Appl., vol. 109, Springer, New York, 1999, pp. 317–327.
- [Kir89] Werner Kirsch, *Random Schrödinger operators. A course*, Schrödinger operators (Sønderborg, 1988), Lecture Notes in Phys., vol. 345, Springer, Berlin, 1989, pp. 264–370.
- [Kle98] A. Klein, *Extended states in the Anderson model on the Bethe lattice*, Adv. Math. **133** (1998), no. 1, 163–184.
- [KM82] W. Kirsch and F. Martinelli, *On the ergodic properties of the spectrum of general random operators*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **334** (1982), 141–156.
- [KN77] M. G. Kreĭn and A. A. Nudelman, *The Markov moment problem and extremal problems*, American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1977, Translations of Mathematical Monographs, Vol. 50.
- [KS80] H. Kunz and B. Souillard, *Sur le spectre des opérateurs aux différences finies aléatoires [On the spectra of random finite difference operators]*, Comm. Math. Phys. **78** (1980), no. 2, 201–246.
- [LS13] A. De Luca and A. Scardicchio, *Ergodicity breaking in a model showing many-body localization*, Europhysics Letters **101** (2013), no. 3, 37003.
- [McK81a] Brendan D. McKay, *The expected eigenvalue distribution of a large regular graph*, Linear Algebra Appl. **40** (1981), 203–216.
- [McK81b] ———, *Subgraphs of random graphs with specified degrees*, Proceedings of the Twelfth Southeastern Conference on Combinatorics, Graph Theory and Computing, Vol. II (Baton Rouge, La., 1981), vol. 33, 1981, pp. 213–223.
- [Meh04] Madan Lal Mehta, *Random matrices*, third ed., Pure and Applied Mathematics (Amsterdam), vol. 142, Elsevier/Academic Press, Amsterdam, 2004.
- [MN08] Steven J. Miller and Tim Novikoff, *The distribution of the largest nontrivial eigenvalues in families of random regular graphs*, Experiment. Math. **17** (2008), no. 2, 231–244.
- [MWW04] Brendan D. McKay, Nicholas C. Wormald, and Beata Wysocka, *Short cycles in random regular graphs*, Electron. J. Combin. **11** (2004), no. 1, Research Paper 66, 12 pp. (electronic).
- [OS10] Idan Oren and Uzy Smilansky, *Trace formulas and spectral statistics for discrete Laplacians on regular graphs (II)*, J. Phys. A **43** (2010), no. 22, 225205, 13.
- [PF92] L. Pastur and A. Figotin, *Spectra of random and almost-periodic operators*, Grundlehren der Mathematischen Wissenschaften, 297, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1992.
- [Sod07] Sasha Sodin, *Random matrices, nonbacktracking walks, and orthogonal polynomials*, J. Math. Phys. **48** (2007), no. 12, 123503, 21.
- [Sod09] ———, *The Tracy-Widom law for some sparse random matrices*, J. Stat. Phys. **136** (2009), no. 5, 834–841.
- [SW86] B. Simon and T. Wolff, *Singular continuous spectrum under rank one perturbations and localization for random Hamiltonians*, Comm. Pure Appl. Math. **39** (1986), no. 1, 75–90.
- [Sze75] Gábor Szegő, *Orthogonal polynomials*, fourth ed., American Mathematical Society, Providence, R.I., 1975, American Mathematical Society, Colloquium Publications, Vol. XXIII.
- [TV] T. Tao and V. Vu, *Random matrices: Universality of the local eigenvalues statistics*, to appear in Acta Math.
- [TVW13] L. V. Tran, V. H. Vu, and K. Wang, *Sparse random graphs: Eigenvalues and eigenvectors*, Random Structures Algorithms **42** (2013), no. 1, 110–134.
- [War12] S. Warzel, *Surprises in the phase diagram of the Anderson model on the Bethe lattice*, Preprint: arXiv:1212.4367 (2012).
- [Weg81] Franz Wegner, *Bounds on the density of states in disordered systems*, Z. Phys. B **44** (1981), no. 1-2, 9–15.
- [Wig55] Eugene P. Wigner, *Characteristic vectors of bordered matrices with infinite dimensions*, Ann. of Math. (2) **62** (1955), 548–564.

LEANDER GEISINGER, DEPARTMENT OF PHYSICS, PRINCETON UNIVERSITY, PRINCETON, NJ 08544, USA
E-mail address: leander@princeton.edu